Rouen - September 22, 2022

Comprendre la structure topologique des données : une introduction à l'homologie persistante.

Frédéric Chazal DataShape team Inria & Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay Institut DATAIA Université Paris-Saclay









What is Topological Data Analysis (TDA)?









[Cell population cytometry - MetaFora courtesy]

[Porous material (IFPEN courtesy)]

[Sensors (Sysnav courtesy)]

Modern data carry complex, but important, geometric/topological structure !

What is Topological Data Analysis (TDA)?



Topological Data Analysis (TDA) is a recent field whose aim is to :

- infer relevant topological and geometric features from complex data,
- take advantage of topological/geometric information for further Data Analysis, Machine Learning and AI tasks :
 - using topological features in ML pipelines,
 - taking advantage of topological information to improve ML pipelines.

A classical TDA pipeline



- 2. Compute multiscale topol. signatures : persistent homology
- Take advantage of the signature for further Machine Learning and AI tasks : Statistical aspects and representations of persistence

Representations of persistence

Persistent homology Starting with a few examples

A general mathematical framework to encode the evolution of the topology (homology) of families of nested spaces (filtrations).

- 90's : size theory (P. Frosini et al), framed Morse complex and stability (S.A. Barannikov).
- 2002 2005 : persistent homology (H. Edelsbrunner et al, Carlsson et al).
- important mathematical and practical developments since the 2000's.

• Tracking and encoding the evolution of the connected components (0-dimensional homology) of the sublevel sets of a function



• Tracking and encoding the evolution of the connected components (0-dimensional homology) of the sublevel sets of a function



• Tracking and encoding the evolution of the connected components (0-dimensional homology) of the sublevel sets of a function



• Tracking and encoding the evolution of the connected components (0-dimensional homology) of the sublevel sets of a function



• Tracking and encoding the evolution of the connected components (0-dimensional homology) of the sublevel sets of a function



• Tracking and encoding the evolution of the connected components (0-dimensional homology) of the sublevel sets of a function



• Tracking and encoding the evolution of the connected components (0-dimensional homology) of the sublevel sets of a function



- \bullet Tracking and encoding the evolution of the connected components (0-dimensional homology) of the sublevel sets of a function
- The family of sublevel sets of a function is an example of filtration.
- Finite set of intervals (barcode) encodes births/deaths of topological features.



- \bullet Tracking and encoding the evolution of the connected components (0-dimensional homology) of the sublevel sets of a function
- The family of sublevel sets of a function is an example of filtration.
- Finite set of intervals (barcode) encodes births/deaths of topological features.

















Tracking and encoding the evolution of the connected components (0-dimensional homology) and cycles (1-dimensional homology) of the sublevel sets.

Homology : an algebraic way to rigorously formalize the notion of k-dimensional cycles through a vector space (or a group), the homology group whose dimension is the number of "independent" cycles (the Betti number).

Stability properties



What if f is slightly perturbed?



Distance between persistence diagrams



The bottleneck distance between two diagrams D_1 and D_2 is

$$d_B(D_1, D_2) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{p \in D_1} \|p - \gamma(p)\|_{\infty}$$

where Γ is the set of all the bijections between D_1 and D_2 and $||p - q||_{\infty} = \max(|x_p - x_q|, |y_p - y_q|).$

Stability properties



Theorem (Stability) : For any *tame* functions $f, g : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, $d_B(D_f, D_g) \le ||f - g||_{\infty}$.

[Baranikov 94], [Cohen-Steiner, Edelsbrunner, Harer 05], [C., Cohen-Steiner, Glisse, Guibas, Oudot - SoCG 09], [C., de Silva, Glisse, Oudot 12]



- Filtrations allow to construct "shapes" representing the data in a multiscale way.
- Persistent homology : encode the evolution of the topology across the scales → multi-scale topological signatures.
- A general and well-studied mathematical framework.



- Filtrations allow to construct "shapes" representing the data in a multiscale way.
- Persistent homology : encode the evolution of the topology across the scales → multi-scale topological signatures.
- A general and well-studied mathematical framework.



- Filtrations allow to construct "shapes" representing the data in a multiscale way.
- Persistent homology : encode the evolution of the topology across the scales → multi-scale topological signatures.
- A general and well-studied mathematical framework.



- Filtrations allow to construct "shapes" representing the data in a multiscale way.
- Persistent homology : encode the evolution of the topology across the scales → multi-scale topological signatures.
- A general and well-studied mathematical framework.





- Filtrations allow to construct "shapes" representing the data in a multiscale way.
- Persistent homology : encode the evolution of the topology across the scales \rightarrow multi-scale topological signatures.
- A general and well-studied mathematical framework.

Simplicial complexes, filtrations, homology and persistent homology

Simplicial complexes



Given a set $P = \{p_0, \ldots, p_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of k + 1 affinely independent points, the kdimensional simplex σ , or k-simplex for short, spanned by P is the set of convex combinations

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \lambda_i p_i, \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k} \lambda_i = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i \ge 0.$$

The points p_0, \ldots, p_k are called the vertices of σ .

Simplicial complexes



A (finite) simplicial complex K in \mathbb{R}^d is a (finite) collection of simplices such that :

- 1. any face of a simplex of K is a simplex of K,
- 2. the intersection of any two simplices of K is either empty or a common face of both.

The underlying space of K, denoted by $|K| \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is the union of the simplices of K.

Abstract simplicial complexes

Let P be a set. An abstract simplicial complex K with vertex set P is a set of finite subsets of P satisfying the two conditions :

- 1. The elements of P belong to K.
- 2. If $\tau \in K$ and $\sigma \subseteq \tau$, then $\sigma \in K$.



The elements of K are the simplices.

IMPORTANT

Simplicial complexes can be seen at the same time as geometric/topological spaces (good for top./geom. inference) and as combinatorial objects (abstract simplicial complexes, good for computations).

Homology in a nutshell (with coeff. in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$)

Formalize the notion of connected components, cycles/holes, voids... in a topological space (here we will restrict to simplicial complexes).



- 2 connected components (0-dim homology)
- 4 cycles (1-dim homology)
- 1 void (2-dim homology)

Homology in a nutshell (with coeff. in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$)

The space of k-chains :

Let K be a d-dimensional simplicial complex. Let $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ and $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p\}$ be the set of k-simplices of K.

k-chain :

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \varepsilon_i \sigma_i$$
 with $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1\}$

Sum of *k*-chains :

$$c + c' = \sum_{i=1}^{p} (\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon'_i) \sigma_i$$
 and $\lambda . c = \sum_{i=1}^{p} (\lambda \varepsilon'_i) \sigma_i$

where the sums $\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon'_i$ and the products $\lambda \varepsilon_i$ are modulo 2.

Homology in a nutshell (with coeff. in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$)

The boundary operator :

The boundary $\partial \sigma$ of a k-simplex σ is the sum of its (k-1)-faces. This is a (k-1)-chain.

If
$$\sigma = [v_0, \cdots, v_k]$$
 then $\partial_k \sigma = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i [v_0 \cdots \hat{v}_i \cdots v_k]$

The boundary operator is the linear map defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} \partial_k : & \mathcal{C}_k(K) & \to & \mathcal{C}_{k-1}(K) \\ & c & \to & \partial_k c = \sum_{\sigma \in c} \partial_k \sigma \end{array}$$

$$\partial_k \partial_{k+1} := \partial_k \circ \partial_{k+1} = 0$$
Homology in a nutshell (with coeff. in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$)

Cycles and boundaries :

The chain complex associated to a complex ${\cal K}$ of dimension d

$$\emptyset \to \mathcal{C}_d(K) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{C}_{d-1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \mathcal{C}_{k+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{C}_k(K) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \mathcal{C}_1(K) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{C}_0(K) \xrightarrow{\partial} k$$
-cycles :

$$Z_k(K) := \ker(\partial : \mathcal{C}_k \to \mathcal{C}_{k-1}) = \{ c \in \mathcal{C}_k : \partial c = \emptyset \}$$

k-boundaries :

$$B_k(K) := im(\partial : \mathcal{C}_{k+1} \to \mathcal{C}_k) = \{c \in \mathcal{C}_k : \exists c' \in \mathcal{C}_{k+1}, c = \partial c'\}$$

$$B_k(K) \subset Z_k(K) \subset \mathcal{C}_k(K)$$

Homology in a nutshell (with coeff. in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$)

Homology groups and Betti numbers :

 $B_k(K) \subset Z_k(K) \subset \mathcal{C}_k(K)$

- The k^{th} homology group of $K : H_k(K) = Z_k/B_k$
- Tout each cycle $c \in Z_k(K)$ corresponds its homology class $c + B_k(K) = \{c + b : b \in B_k(K)\}.$
- Two cycles c, c' are homologous if they are in the same homology class : $\exists b \in B_k(K)$ s. t. b = c' c(=c'+c).
- The k^{th} Betti number of $K : \beta_k(K) = \dim(H_k(K))$.

Remark : $\beta_0(K) =$ number of connected components of K.

Cycles and boundaries



Filtrations of simplicial complexes

- A filtered simplicial complex (or a filtration) K built on top of a set X is a family (K_a | a ∈ T), T ⊆ R, of subcomplexes of some fixed simplicial complex K with vertex set X s. t. K_a ⊆ K_b for any a ≤ b.
- More generaly, filtration = nested family of topological spaces indexed by T.

Persistent homology of a filtered simplicial complexe encodes the evolution of the homology of the subcomplexes.

Filtrations of simplicial complexes

- A filtered simplicial complex (or a filtration) K built on top of a set X is a family (K_a | a ∈ T), T ⊆ R, of subcomplexes of some fixed simplicial complex K with vertex set X s. t. K_a ⊆ K_b for any a ≤ b.
- More generaly, filtration = nested family of topological spaces indexed by T.

Many examples and ways to design filtrations depending on the application and targeted objectives : sublevel and upperlevel sets, Čech complex,...

Sublevel set filtration associated to a function



- $\bullet~f$ a real valued function defined on the vertices of K
- For $\sigma = [v_0, \cdots, v_k] \in K$, $f(\sigma) = \max_{i=0, \cdots, k} f(v_i)$
- The simplices of K are ordered according increasing f values (and dimension in case of equal values on different simplices).

Sublevel set filtration associated to a function



- $\bullet~f$ a real valued function defined on the vertices of K
- For $\sigma = [v_0, \cdots, v_k] \in K$, $f(\sigma) = \max_{i=0, \cdots, k} f(v_i)$
- The simplices of K are ordered according increasing f values (and dimension in case of equal values on different simplices).

Example : the Vietoris-Rips filtration



Let V be a point cloud (in a metric space (X, d)).

The Vietoris-Rips complex $\operatorname{Rips}(V)$ is the filtered simplicial complex indexed by \mathbb{R} whose vertex set is V and defined by :

 $\sigma = [p_0 p_1 \cdots p_k] \in \operatorname{Rips}(V, \alpha) \text{ iff } \forall i, j \in \{0, \cdots, k\}, \ d(p_i, p_j) \le \alpha$

Easy to compute and fully determined by its 1-skeleton

Stability properties



Rem : This result also holds for other families of filtrations (particular case of a more general thm).

Hausdorff distance



Let $A, B \subset M$ be two compact subsets of a metric space (M, d)

$$d_H(A,B) = \max\{\sup_{b\in B} d(b,A), \sup_{a\in A} d(a,B)\}$$

where $d(b, A) = \sup_{a \in A} d(b, a)$.

Input : A filtration of a simplicial complex $\emptyset = K^0 \subset K^1 \subset \cdots \subset K^m = K$, s. t. $K^{i+1} = K^i \cup \sigma^{i+1}$ where σ^{i+1} is a simplex of K.

Output : The Betti numbers $\beta_0, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_d$ of K.

$$\begin{split} \beta_0 &= \beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_d = 0; \\ \text{for } i &= 1 \text{ to } m \\ k &= \dim \sigma^i - 1; \\ \text{if } \sigma^i \text{ is contained in a } (k+1)\text{-cycle in } K^i \\ \text{then } \beta_{k+1} &= \beta_{k+1} + 1; \\ \text{else } \beta_k &= \beta_k - 1; \\ \text{end if;} \\ \text{end for;} \\ \text{output } (\beta_0, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_d); \end{split}$$

Input : A filtration of a simplicial complex $\emptyset = K^0 \subset K^1 \subset \cdots \subset K^m = K$, s. t. $K^{i+1} = K^i \cup \sigma^{i+1}$ where σ^{i+1} is a simplex of K.

Output : The Betti numbers $\beta_0, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_d$ of K.





(1,0,0)

Input : A filtration of a simplicial complex $\emptyset = K^0 \subset K^1 \subset \cdots \subset K^m = K$, s. t. $K^{i+1} = K^i \cup \sigma^{i+1}$ where σ^{i+1} is a simplex of K.

Output : The Betti numbers $\beta_0, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_d$ of K.

$$\begin{split} \beta_0 &= \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_d = 0;\\ \text{for } i &= 1 \text{ to } m\\ k &= \dim \sigma^i - 1;\\ \text{if } \sigma^i \text{ is contained in a } (k+1)\text{-cycle in } K^i\\ \text{ then } \beta_{k+1} &= \beta_{k+1} + 1;\\ \text{else } \beta_k &= \beta_k - 1;\\ \text{end if };\\ \text{end for };\\ \text{output } (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d); \end{split}$$

Remark : At the i^{th} step of the algorithm, the vector $(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_d)$ stores the Betti numbers of K^i .

Input : A filtration of a simplicial complex $\emptyset = K^0 \subset K^1 \subset \cdots \subset K^m = K$, s. t. $K^{i+1} = K^i \cup \sigma^{i+1}$ where σ^{i+1} is a simplex of K.

Output : The Betti numbers $\beta_0, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_d$ of K.

$$\begin{array}{l} \beta_0 = \beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_d = 0;\\ \text{for } i = 1 \text{ to } m\\ k = \dim \sigma^i - 1;\\ \text{if } \sigma^i \text{ is contained in a } (k+1)\text{-cycle in } K^i\\ \text{then } \beta_{k+1} = \beta_{k+1} + 1;\\ \text{else } \beta_k = \beta_k - 1;\\ \text{end if;}\\ \text{end for;}\\ \text{output } (\beta_0, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_d);\\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Definition : A } (k+1)\text{-simplex } \sigma^i \text{ is positive if it is contained in a } (k+1)\text{-cycle in } K^i\\ \text{ bestroy a } k\text{-cycle in } K^i \end{array}$$

 $\beta_k(K) = \sharp$ (positive simplices) $- \sharp$ (negative simplices)

From homology to persistent homology

Input : A filtration of a simplicial complex $\emptyset = K^0 \subset K^1 \subset \cdots \subset K^m = K$, s. t. $K^{i+1} = K^i \cup \sigma^{i+1}$ where σ^{i+1} is a simplex of K.

Output : The Betti numbers $\beta_0, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_d$ of K.

```
\begin{split} \beta_0 &= \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_d = 0;\\ \text{for } i &= 1 \text{ to } m\\ k &= \dim \sigma^i - 1;\\ \text{if } \sigma^i \text{ is contained in a } (k+1)\text{-cycle in } K^i\\ \text{ then } \beta_{k+1} &= \beta_{k+1} + 1;\\ \text{else } \beta_k &= \beta_k - 1;\\ \text{end if };\\ \text{end for };\\ \text{output } (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d); \end{split}
```

The algorithm can be easily adapted to keep track of an homology basis and pairs positive simplices (birth of a new homological class) to negative simplices (death of an existing homology class).

Let $K = (K_a \mid a \in \mathbf{R})$ be a finite filtered simplicial complex with N simplicies and let $K_{a_1} \subset K_{a_2} \subset \cdots \subset K_{a_N}$ be the discrete filtration induced by the entering times of the simplices : $K_{a_i} \setminus K_{a_{i-1}} = \sigma_{a_i}$.

Let $K = (K_a \mid a \in \mathbf{R})$ be a finite filtered simplicial complex with N simplicies and let $K_{a_1} \subset K_{a_2} \subset \cdots \subset K_{a_N}$ be the discrete filtration induced by the entering times of the simplices : $K_{a_i} \setminus K_{a_{i-1}} = \sigma_{a_i}$.

Process the simplices according to their order of entrance in the filtration :

Let $k = \dim \sigma_{a_i}$ (ie. $\sigma_{a_i} = [v_0, \cdots, v_k]$)

Let $K = (K_a \mid a \in \mathbf{R})$ be a finite filtered simplicial complex with N simplicies and let $K_{a_1} \subset K_{a_2} \subset \cdots \subset K_{a_N}$ be the discrete filtration induced by the entering times of the simplices : $K_{a_i} \setminus K_{a_{i-1}} = \sigma_{a_i}$.

Process the simplices according to their order of entrance in the filtration :

Let $k = \dim \sigma_{a_i}$ (ie. $\sigma_{a_i} = [v_0, \cdots, v_k]$)

Case 1 : adding σ_{a_i} to $K_{a_{i-1}}$ creates a new k-dimensional topological feature in K_{a_i} (new homology class in H_k).



 \Rightarrow the birth of a k-dim feature is registered.

Let $K = (K_a \mid a \in \mathbf{R})$ be a finite filtered simplicial complex with N simplicies and let $K_{a_1} \subset K_{a_2} \subset \cdots \subset K_{a_N}$ be the discrete filtration induced by the entering times of the simplices : $K_{a_i} \setminus K_{a_{i-1}} = \sigma_{a_i}$.

Process the simplices according to their order of entrance in the filtration :

Let $k = \dim \sigma_{a_i}$ (ie. $\sigma_{a_i} = [v_0, \cdots, v_k]$)

Case 1 : adding σ_{a_i} to $K_{a_{i-1}}$ creates a new k-dimensional topological feature in K_{a_i} (new homology class in H_k).



 \Rightarrow the birth of a k-dim feature is registered.

Case 2 : adding σ_{a_i} to $K_{a_{i-1}}$ kills a (k-1)-dimensional topological feature in K_{a_i} (homology class in H_{k-1}).



 \Rightarrow persistence algo. pairs the simplex σ_{a_i} to the simplex σ_{a_j} that gave birth to the killed feature.

Process the simplices according to their order of entrance in the filtration :

Let
$$k = \dim \sigma_{a_i}$$
 (ie. $\sigma_{a_i} = [v_0, \cdots, v_k]$)

Case 1 : adding σ_{a_i} to $K_{a_{i-1}}$ creates a new k-dimensional topological feature in K_{a_i} (new homology class in H_k).



 \Rightarrow the birth of a k-dim feature is registered.

Case 2 : adding σ_{a_i} to $K_{a_{i-1}}$ kills a (k-1)-dimensional topological feature in K_{a_i} (homology class in H_{k-1}).



 \Rightarrow persistence algo. pairs the simplex σ_{a_i} to the simplex σ_{a_j} that gave birth to the killed feature.

 $\rightarrow (\sigma_{a_j}, \sigma_{a_i})$: persistence pair

 \rightarrow $(a_j, a_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2$: point in the persistence diagram

Process the simplices according to their order of entrance in the filtration :

Let
$$k = \dim \sigma_{a_i}$$
 (ie. $\sigma_{a_i} = [v_0, \cdots, v_k]$)

Case 1 : adding σ_{a_i} to $K_{a_{i-1}}$ creates a new k-dimensional topological feature in K_{a_i} (new homology class in H_k).



 \Rightarrow the birth of a k-dim feature is registered.

Important to remember : the persistence pairs are determined by the order on the simplices; the corresponding $\rightarrow (a_i, a_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2$: point in the points in the diagrams are determined by the indices.

Case 2 : adding σ_{a_i} to $K_{a_{i-1}}$ kills a (k-1)-dimensional topological feature in K_{a_i} (homology class in H_{k-1}).



 \Rightarrow persistence algo. pairs the simplex σ_{a_i} to the simplex σ_{a_i} that gave birth to the killed feature.

 $\rightarrow (\sigma_{a_i}, \sigma_{a_i})$: persistence pair

persistence diagram

The persistence algorithm : matrix version

Input : $\emptyset = K^0 \subset K^1 \subset \cdots \subset K^m = K$ a *d*-dimensional filtration of a simplicial complex K s. t. $K^{i+1} = K^i \cup \sigma^{i+1}$ where σ^{i+1} is a simplex of K.

The matrix of the boundary operator :



- $M = (m_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,m}$ with coefficient in $\mathbb{Z}/2$ defined by $m_{ij} = 1$ if σ^i is a face of σ^j and $m_{ij} = 0$ otherwise

— For any column C_j , l(j) is defined by

$$(i = l(j)) \Leftrightarrow (m_{ij} = 1 \text{ and } m_{i'j} = 0 \quad \forall i' > i)$$

The persistence algorithm : matrix version

Input: $\emptyset = K^0 \subset K^1 \subset \cdots \subset K^m = K$ a *d*-dimensional filtration of a simplicial complex K s. t. $K^{i+1} = K^i \cup \sigma^{i+1}$ where σ^{i+1} is a simplex of K.

Compute the matrix of the boundary operator MFor j = 0 to mWhile (there exists j' < j such that l(j') == l(j)) $C_j = C_j + C_{j'} \mod(2)$; End while End for Output the pairs (l(j), j);

Remark : The worst case complexity of the algorithm is $O(m^3)$ but much lower in most practical cases.

The persistence algorithm : matrix version

A simple example :



Persistent homology with the GUDHI library



GUDHI :

- a C++/Python open source software library for TDA,
- a developers team, an editorial board, open to external contributions,
- provides state-of-the-art TDA data structures and algorithms : design of filtrations, computation of pre-defined filtrations, persistence diagrams,...
- algorithms and tools for TDA and ML.

If there is some time left...

Definition : A persistence module \mathbb{V} is an indexed family of vector spaces $(V_a \mid a \in \mathbb{R})$ and a doubly-indexed family of linear maps $(v_a^b : V_a \to V_b \mid a \leq b)$ which satisfy the composition law $v_b^c \circ v_a^b = v_a^c$ whenever $a \leq b \leq c$, and where v_a^a is the identity map on V_a .

Examples :

- Let \mathbb{S} be a filtered simplicial complex. If $V_a = H(\mathbb{S}_a)$ and $v_a^b : H(\mathbb{S}_a) \to H(\mathbb{S}_b)$ is the linear map induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{S}_a \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}_b$ then $(H(\mathbb{S}_a) \mid a \in \mathbb{R})$ is a persistence module.
- Given a metric space (X, d_X) , H(Rips(X)) is a persistence module.
- If $f: X \to \mathbf{R}$ is a function, then the filtration defined by the sublevel sets of f, $\mathbb{F}_a = f^{-1}((-\infty, a])$, induces a persistence module at homology level.

Definition : A persistence module \mathbb{V} is an indexed family of vector spaces $(V_a \mid a \in \mathbb{R})$ and a doubly-indexed family of linear maps $(v_a^b : V_a \to V_b \mid a \leq b)$ which satisfy the composition law $v_b^c \circ v_a^b = v_a^c$ whenever $a \leq b \leq c$, and where v_a^a is the identity map on V_a .

Definition : A persistence module \mathbb{V} is q-tame if for any a < b, v_a^b has a finite rank.

Theorem :[C., Cohen-Steiner, Glisse, Guibas, Oudot - SoCG'09], [C., de Silva, Glisse, Oudot 12]

q-tame persistence modules have well-defined persistence diagrams.

Definition : A persistence module \mathbb{V} is an indexed family of vector spaces $(V_a \mid a \in \mathbb{R})$ and a doubly-indexed family of linear maps $(v_a^b : V_a \to V_b \mid a \leq b)$ which satisfy the composition law $v_b^c \circ v_a^b = v_a^c$ whenever $a \leq b \leq c$, and where v_a^a is the identity map on V_a .

Definition : A persistence module \mathbb{V} is q-tame if for any a < b, v_a^b has a finite rank.

Theorem :[C., Cohen-Steiner, Glisse, Guibas, Oudot - SoCG'09], [C., de Silva, Glisse, Oudot 12] q-tame persistence modules have well-defined persistence diagrams.

Example : Let X be a precompact metric space. Then H(Rips(X)) is q-tame.

Recall that a metric space (X, ρ) is precompact if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite subset $F_{\epsilon} \subset X$ such that $d_{H}(X, F_{\epsilon}) < \epsilon$ (i.e. $\forall x \in X, \exists p \in F_{\epsilon} \text{ s.t. } \rho(x, p) < \epsilon$).

Definition : A persistence module \mathbb{V} is an indexed family of vector spaces $(V_a \mid a \in \mathbb{R})$ and a doubly-indexed family of linear maps $(v_a^b : V_a \to V_b \mid a \leq b)$ which satisfy the composition law $v_b^c \circ v_a^b = v_a^c$ whenever $a \leq b \leq c$, and where v_a^a is the identity map on V_a .

A homomorphism of degree ϵ between two persistence modules $\mathbb U$ and $\mathbb V$ is a collection Φ of linear maps

$$(\phi_a: U_a \to V_{a+\epsilon} \mid a \in \mathbf{R})$$

such that $v_{a+\epsilon}^{b+\epsilon} \circ \phi_a = \phi_b \circ u_a^b$ for all $a \leq b$.



An ε -interleaving between \mathbb{U} and \mathbb{V} is specified by two homomorphisms of degree $\epsilon \Phi : \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{V}$ and $\Psi : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{U}$ s.t. $\Phi \circ \Psi$ and $\Psi \circ \Phi$ are the "shifts" of degree 2ϵ between \mathbb{U} and \mathbb{V} .



Definition : A persistence module \mathbb{V} is an indexed family of vector spaces $(V_a \mid a \in \mathbb{R})$ and a doubly-indexed family of linear maps $(v_a^b : V_a \to V_b \mid a \leq b)$ which satisfy the composition law $v_b^c \circ v_a^b = v_a^c$ whenever $a \leq b \leq c$, and where v_a^a is the identity map on V_a .

Stability Thm [C., Cohen-Steiner, Glisse, Guibas, Oudot - SoCG '09], [C., de Silva, Glisse Oudot 12] If U and V are q-tame and ϵ -interleaved for some $\epsilon \geq 0$ then

 $d_B(\mathsf{dgm}(\mathbb{U}),\mathsf{dgm}(\mathbb{V})) \leq \epsilon$

Definition : A persistence module \mathbb{V} is an indexed family of vector spaces $(V_a \mid a \in \mathbb{R})$ and a doubly-indexed family of linear maps $(v_a^b : V_a \to V_b \mid a \leq b)$ which satisfy the composition law $v_b^c \circ v_a^b = v_a^c$ whenever $a \leq b \leq c$, and where v_a^a is the identity map on V_a .

Stability Thm [C., Cohen-Steiner, Glisse, Guibas, Oudot - SoCG '09], [C., de Silva, Glisse Oudot 12] If U and V are q-tame and ϵ -interleaved for some $\epsilon \geq 0$ then

 $d_B(\mathsf{dgm}(\mathbb{U}),\mathsf{dgm}(\mathbb{V})) \leq \epsilon$

Exercise : Show the stability theorem for (tame) functions : let X be a topological space and let $f, g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be two *tame* functions. Then

$$\mathsf{d}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{D}_f,\mathrm{D}_g) \le \|f-g\|_{\infty}.$$

Definition : A persistence module \mathbb{V} is an indexed family of vector spaces $(V_a \mid a \in \mathbb{R})$ and a doubly-indexed family of linear maps $(v_a^b : V_a \to V_b \mid a \leq b)$ which satisfy the composition law $v_b^c \circ v_a^b = v_a^c$ whenever $a \leq b \leq c$, and where v_a^a is the identity map on V_a .

Stability Thm [C., Cohen-Steiner, Glisse, Guibas, Oudot - SoCG '09], [C., de Silva, Glisse Oudot 12]

If $\mathbb U$ and $\mathbb V$ are q-tame and $\epsilon\text{-interleaved}$ for some $\epsilon\geq 0$ then

 $d_B(\mathsf{dgm}(\mathbb{U}),\mathsf{dgm}(\mathbb{V})) \leq \epsilon$

Strategy : build filtrations that induce **q-tame** homology persistence modules and that turn out to be ϵ -interleaved when the considered spaces/functions are $O(\epsilon)$ -close.

A few applications of persistence

Persistence-based clustering

Combine a mode seeking approach with (0-dim) persistence computation.

[C.,Guibas,Oudot,Skraba - J. ACM 2013]



Input :

1. A finite set X of observations (point cloud with coordinates or pairwise distance matrix),

2. A real valued function f defined on the observations (e.g. density estimate).

 ${\bf Goal}$: Partition the data according to the basins of attraction of the peaks of f

Persistence-based clustering

Combine a mode seeking approach with (0-dim) persistence computation. [C.,Guibas,Oudot,Skraba - J. ACM 2013]



- 1. Build a neighborhing graph G on top of X.
- 2. Compute the (0-dim) persistence of f to identify prominent peaks \rightarrow number of clusters (union-find algorithm).
Combine a mode seeking approach with (0-dim) persistence computation. [C.,Guibas,Oudot,Skraba - J. ACM 2013]



- 1. Build a neighborhing graph G on top of X.
- 2. Compute the (0-dim) persistence of f to identify prominent peaks \rightarrow number of clusters (union-find algorithm).

Combine a mode seeking approach with (0-dim) persistence computation. [C.,Guibas,Oudot,Skraba - J. ACM 2013]



- 1. Build a neighborhing graph G on top of X.
- 2. Compute the (0-dim) persistence of f to identify prominent peaks \rightarrow number of clusters (union-find algorithm).

Combine a mode seeking approach with (0-dim) persistence computation.

[C.,Guibas,Oudot,Skraba - J. ACM 2013]



- 1. Build a neighborhing graph G on top of X.
- 2. Compute the (0-dim) persistence of f to identify prominent peaks \rightarrow number of clusters (union-find algorithm).

3. Chose a threshold $\tau > 0$ and use the persistence algorithm to merge components with prominence less than τ .

Combine a mode seeking approach with (0-dim) persistence computation.

[C.,Guibas,Oudot,Skraba - J. ACM 2013]



Complexity of the algorithm : $O(n \log n)$

Theoretical guarantees :

- Stability of the number of clusters (w.r.t. perturbations of X and f).
- Partial stability of clusters : well identified stable parts in each cluster.

Application to non-rigid shape segmentation

[Skraba, Ovsjanikov, C., Guibas, NORDIA 10]



Problem : some part of clusters are unstable \rightarrow dirty segments

Application to non-rigid shape segmentation

[Skraba, Ovsjanikov, C., Guibas, NORDIA 10]



Problem : some part of clusters are unstable \rightarrow dirty segments

Idea :

- Run the persistence based algorithm several times on random perturbations of f (size bounded by the "persistence" gap).

- Partial stability of clusters allows to establish correspondences between clusters across the different runs \rightarrow for any $x \in X$, a vector giving the probability for x to belong to each cluster.

Application to non-rigid shape segmentation

[Skraba, Ovsjanikov, C., Guibas, NORDIA 10]





Topology-based unsupervised classification and anomaly detection on cytometry data for medical diagnosis [M. Glisse, L. Pujol et al 2022]



An innovative start-up specialized in biological diagnosis from cytometry data.



Objective : unsupervised learning in large point clouds (several millions) in medium/high dimensions ($\approx 4 \rightarrow 80$)

Applications : medical diagnosis from blood samples (1 point = 1 blood cell)

Methodology : TDA based approaches, combined with dim. reduction methods to identify relevant patterns and subsamples.

The problem of representation of persistence



A zoo of representations of persistence

(non exhaustive list - see also Gudhi representations)

• Collections of 1D functions

 \rightarrow landscapes [Bubenik 2012]

 \rightarrow Betti curves [Umeda 2017]

• discrete measures : (interesting statistical properties [Chazal, Divol 2018])

 \rightarrow persistence images [Adams et al 2017]

 \rightarrow convolution with Gaussian kernel [Reininghaus et al. 2015] [Chepushtanova et al. 2015] [Kusano Fukumisu Hiraoka 2016-17] [Le Yamada 2018]

 \rightarrow sliced on lines [Carrière Oudot Cuturi 2017]

- finite metric spaces [Carrière Oudot Ovsjanikov 2015]
- polynomial roots or evaluations [Di Fabio Ferri 2015] [Kališnik 2016]

[Adams et al, JMLR 2017]



For $K : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ a kernel and H a bandwidth matrix (e.g. a symmetric positive definite matrix), pose for $u \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $K_H(u) = |H|^{-1/2} K(H^{-1/2} \cdot u)$

For $D = \sum_i \delta_{p_i}$ a diagram, $K : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ a kernel, H a bandwidth matrix and $w : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}_+$ a weight function, one defines the persistence surface of Dwith kernel K and weight function w by :

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ \rho(D)(u) = \sum_i w(p_i) K_H(u - p_i) = D(wK_H(u - \cdot))$$

Persistence diagrams as discrete measures



Motivations :

- The space of measures is much nicer that the space of P. D. !
- In the general algebraic persistence theory, persistence diagrams naturally appears as discrete measures in the plane.

[C., de Silva, Glisse, Oudot 16]

• Many persistence representations can be expressed as

$$D(f) = \sum_{p \in D} f(p) = \int f dD$$

for well-chosen functions $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathcal{H}$.

Persistence diagrams as discrete measures



Benefits :

- Interesting statistical properties
- Data-driven selection of well-adapted representations (supervised and unsupervised, coming with guarantees)
- Optimisation of persistence-based functions

Many tools available and implemented in the GUDHI library

Let n > 0 be an integer, \mathcal{F}_n : the collection of non-empty subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, M: a real analytic compact d-dim. connected manifold (poss. with boundary).

Filtering function :

$$\varphi = (\varphi[J])_{J \in \mathcal{F}_n} : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{F}_n|}$$

satisfiying the following conditions :

(K2) Invariance by permutation : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M^n$, if τ is a permutation of the entries having support included in J, then $\varphi[J](x_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(n)}) = \varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n).$

(K3) Monotony : For $J \subset J' \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J] \leq \varphi[J']$.

Given $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\varphi(x)$ induces an order on the faces of the simplex with n vertices that is a filtration $\mathcal{K}(x)$:

$$\forall J \in \mathcal{F}_n, \ J \in \mathcal{K}(x,r) \Longleftrightarrow \varphi[J](x) \le r.$$

Not : for $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M^n$ and for J a simplex, $x(J) := (x_j)_{j \in J}$

- (K1) Absence of interaction : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J](x)$ only depends on x(J).
- (K2) Invariance by permutation : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M^n$, if τ is a permutation of the entries having support included in J, then $\varphi[J](x_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(n)}) = \varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n).$
- (K3) Monotony : For $J \subset J' \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J] \leq \varphi[J']$.
- (K4) Compatibility: For a simplex $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $j \in J$, if $\varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is not a function of x_j on some open set U of M^n , then $\varphi[J] \equiv \varphi[J \setminus \{j\}]$ on U.
- (K5) Smoothness : The function φ is subanalytic and the gradient of each of its entries (which is defined a.s.e.) is non vanishing a.s.e..

Let n > 0 be an integer, \mathcal{F}_n : the collection of non-empty subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, M: a real analytic compact d-dim. connected manifold (poss. with boundary).

Filtering function :

$$\varphi = (\varphi[J])_{J \in \mathcal{F}_n} : M^n \to \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{F}_n|}$$

satisfiying the following conditions :

(K2) Invariance by permutation : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M^n$, if τ is a permutation of the entries having support included in J, then $\varphi[J](x_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(n)}) = \varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n).$

(K3) Monotony : For $J \subset J' \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J] \leq \varphi[J']$.

Given $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\varphi(x)$ induces an order on the faces of the simplex with n vertices that is a filtration $\mathcal{K}(x)$:

$$\forall J \in \mathcal{F}_n, \ J \in \mathcal{K}(x,r) \Longleftrightarrow \varphi[J](x) \le r.$$

Not : for $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M^n$ and for J a simplex, $x(J) := (x_j)_{j \in J}$

- (K1) Absence of interaction : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J](x)$ only depends on x(J).
- (K2) Invariance by permutation : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M^n$, if τ is a permutation of the entries having support included in J, then $\varphi[J](x_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(n)}) = \varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n).$
- (K3) Monotony : For $J \subset J' \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J] \leq \varphi[J']$.
- (K4) Compatibility: For a simplex $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $j \in J$, if $\varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is not a function of x_j on some open set U of M^n , then $\varphi[J] \equiv \varphi[J \setminus \{j\}]$ on U.
- (K5) Smoothness : The function φ is subanalytic and the gradient of each of its entries (which is defined a.s.e.) is non vanishing a.s.e..

- (K1) Absence of interaction : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J](x)$ only depends on x(J).
- (K2) Invariance by permutation : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M^n$, if τ is a permutation of the entries having support included in J, then $\varphi[J](x_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(n)}) = \varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n).$
- (K3) Monotony : For $J \subset J' \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J] \leq \varphi[J']$.
- (K4) Compatibility : For a simplex $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $j \in J$, if $\varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is not a function of x_j on some open set U of M^n , then $\varphi[J] \equiv \varphi[J \setminus \{j\}]$ on U.
- (K5') Smoothness : The function φ is subanalytic and the gradient of each of its entries J of size larger than 1 is non vanishing a.e. and for $J = \{j\}$, $\varphi[\{j\}] \equiv 0$.

- (K1) Absence of interaction : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J](x)$ only depends on x(J).
- (K2) Invariance by permutation : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M^n$, if τ is a permutation of the entries having support included in J, then $\varphi[J](x_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(n)}) = \varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n).$
- (K3) Monotony : For $J \subset J' \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J] \leq \varphi[J']$.
- (K4) Compatibility : For a simplex $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $j \in J$, if $\varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is not a function of x_j on some open set U of M^n , then $\varphi[J] \equiv \varphi[J \setminus \{j\}]$ on U.
- (K5') Smoothness : The function φ is subanalytic and the gradient of each of its entries J of size larger than 1 is non vanishing a.e. and for $J = \{j\}$, $\varphi[\{j\}] \equiv 0$.

- (K1) Absence of interaction : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J](x)$ only depends on x(J).
- (K2) Invariance by permutation : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M^n$, if τ is a permutation of the entries having support included in J, then $\varphi[J](x_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(n)}) = \varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n).$
- (K3) Monotony : For $J \subset J' \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J] \leq \varphi[J']$.
- (K4) Compatibility: For a simplex $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $j \in J$, if $\varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is not a function of x_j on some open set U of M^n , then $\varphi[J] \equiv \varphi[J \setminus \{j\}]$ on U.
- (K5') Smoothness : The function φ is subanalytic and the gradient of each of its entries J of size larger than 1 is non vanishing a.e. and for $J = \{j\}$, $\varphi[\{j\}] \equiv 0$.

- (K1) Absence of interaction : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J](x)$ only depends on x(J),
- (K2) Invariance by permutation : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M^n$, if τ is a permutation of the entries having support included in J, then $\varphi[J](x_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(n)}) = \varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n).$
- (K3) Monotony : For $J \subset J' \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J] \leq \varphi[J']$.
- (K4) Compatibility: For a simplex $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $j \in J$, if $\varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is not a function of x_j on some open set U of M^n , then $\varphi[J] \equiv \varphi[J \setminus \{j\}]$ on U.
- (K5') Smoothness : The function φ is subanalytic and the gradient of each of its entries J of size larger than 1 is non vanishing a.e. and for $J = \{j\}$, $\varphi[\{j\}] \equiv 0$.

- (K1) Absence of interaction : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J](x)$ only depends on x(J).
- (K2) Invariance by permutation : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M^n$, if τ is a permutation of the entries having support included in J, then $\varphi[J](x_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(n)}) = \varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n).$
- (K3) Monotony : For $J \subset J' \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J] \leq \varphi[J']$.
- (K4) Compatibility : For a simplex $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $j \in J$, if $\varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is not a function of x_j on some open set U of M^n , then $\varphi[J] \equiv \varphi[J \setminus \{j\}]$ on U.
- (K5') Smoothness : The function φ is subanalytic and the gradient of each of its entries J of size larger than 1 is non vanishing a.e. and for $J = \{j\}$, $\varphi[\{j\}] \equiv 0$.

- (K1) Absence of interaction : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J](x)$ only depends on x(J).
- (K2) Invariance by permutation : For $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in M^n$, if τ is a permutation of the entries having support included in J, then $\varphi[J](x_{\tau(1)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(n)}) = \varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n).$
- (K3) Monotony : For $J \subset J' \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi[J] \leq \varphi[J']$.
- (K4) Compatibility : For a simplex $J \in \mathcal{F}_n$ and for $j \in J$, if $\varphi[J](x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is not a function of x_j on some open set U of M^n , then $\varphi[J] \equiv \varphi[J \setminus \{j\}]$ on U.
- (K5') Smoothness : The function φ is subanalytic and the gradient of each of its entries J of size larger than 1 is non vanishing a.e. and for $J = \{j\}$, $\varphi[\{j\}] \equiv 0$.

The density of expected persistence diagrams

Theorem : Fix $n \ge 1$. Assume that : • M is a real analytic compact d-dimensional connected submanifold possibly with boundary, • X is a random variable on M^n having a density with respect to the Haussdorf measure \mathcal{H}_{dn} , • \mathcal{K} satisfies the assumptions (K1)-(K5). Then, for $s \ge 0$, $E[D_s[\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X})]]$ has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on the half plane $\Delta = \{(b, d) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : b \leq d\}.$

The density of expected persistence diagrams

Theorem : Fix $n \ge 1$. Assume that :

- *M* is a real analytic compact *d*-dimensional connected riemannian manifold possibly with boundary,
- X is a random variable on M^n having a density with respect to the Haussdorf measure \mathcal{H}_{dn} ,
- \mathcal{K} satisfies the assumptions (K1)-(K4) and (K5').

Then, for $s \ge 1$, $E[D_s[\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X})]]$ has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on Δ . Moreover, $E[D_0[\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X})]]$ has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on the vertical line $\{0\} \times [0, \infty)$.

The density of expected persistence diagrams

Theorem : Fix $n \ge 1$. Assume that :

- *M* is a real analytic compact *d*-dimensional connected riemannian manifold possibly with boundary,
- X is a random variable on M^n having a density with respect to the Haussdorf measure \mathcal{H}_{dn} ,
- \mathcal{K} satisfies the assumptions (K1)-(K4) and (K5').

Then, for $s \ge 1$, $E[D_s[\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X})]]$ has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on Δ . Moreover, $E[D_0[\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X})]]$ has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on the vertical line $\{0\} \times [0, \infty)$.

Theorem [smoothness]: Under the assumption of previous theorem, if moreover $\mathbb{X} \in M^n$ has a density of class C^k with respect to \mathcal{H}_{nd} . Then, for $s \geq 0$, the density of $E[D_s[\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X})]]$ is of class C^k .

Sketch of proof

1. There exists a partition of the complement of a (subanalytic) set of measure 0 in M^n by open sets V_1, \dots, V_R such that :

- the order of the simplices of $\mathcal{K}(x)$ is constant on each V_r ,
- for any $r=1,\cdots,R$, and any $x\in V_r$,

$$D_s[\mathcal{K}(x)] = \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i}$$

with $\mathbf{r}_i = (\varphi[J_{i_1}](x), \varphi[J_{i_2}](x))$ where N_r , J_{i_1}, J_{i_2} only depends on V_r .

• J_{i_1}, J_{i_2} can be chosen so that the differential of

$$\Phi_{ir}: x \in V_r \to \mathbf{r}_i = (\varphi[J_{i_1}](x), \varphi[J_{i_2}](x))$$

has maximal rank (2).

Sketch of proof

2. The expected diagram can be written as

$$E[D_s[\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X})]] = \sum_{r=1}^R E\left[\mathbb{1}\{\mathbb{X} \in V_r\} D_s[\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X})]\right] = \sum_{r=1}^R E\left[\mathbb{1}\{\mathbb{X} \in V_r\} \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i}\right]$$
$$= \sum_{r=1}^R \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} E\left[\mathbb{1}\{\mathbb{X} \in V_r\} \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i}\right]$$

Sketch of proof

2. The expected diagram can be written as

$$E[D_{s}[\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X})]] = \sum_{r=1}^{R} E\left[\mathbb{1}\{\mathbb{X} \in V_{r}\}D_{s}[\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X})]\right] = \sum_{r=1}^{R} E\left[\mathbb{1}\{\mathbb{X} \in V_{r}\}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{r}} \delta_{\mathbf{r}_{i}}\right]$$
$$= \sum_{r=1}^{R} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{r}} E\left[\mathbb{1}\{\mathbb{X} \in V_{r}\}\delta_{\mathbf{r}_{i}}\right]$$
$$\mu_{ir}$$
3. Use the co-area formula :
$$\mu_{ir}(B) = P(\Phi_{ir}(\mathbb{X}) \in B, \mathbb{X} \in V_{r})$$
$$= \int_{V_{r}} \mathbb{1}\{\Phi_{ir}(x) \in B\}\kappa(x)d\mathcal{H}_{nd}(x)$$
$$= \int_{U \in B} \int_{x \in \Phi_{ir}^{-1}(u)} (J\Phi_{ir}(x))^{-1}\kappa(x)d\mathcal{H}_{nd-2}(x)du.$$
Density of μ_{ir}

The Hausdorff measure and the co-area formula

Definition : Let k be a non-negative number. For $A \subset \mathbb{R}^D$, and $\delta > 0$, consider

$$\mathcal{H}_k^{\delta}(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_i \operatorname{diam}(U_i)^k, A \subset \bigcup_i U_i \text{ and } \operatorname{diam}(U_i) < \delta \right\}.$$

The *k*-dimensional Haussdorf measure on \mathbb{R}^D of A is defined by $\mathcal{H}_k(A) := \lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathcal{H}_k^{\delta}(A)$.

Theorem [Co-area formula] : Let M (resp. N) be a smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension m (resp n). Assume that $m \ge n$ and let $\Phi : M \to N$ be a differentiable map. Denote by $D\Phi$ the differential of Φ . The Jacobian of Φ is defined by $J\Phi = \sqrt{\det((D\Phi) \times (D\Phi)^t)}$. For $f : M \to N$ a positive measurable function, the following equality holds :

$$\int_{M} f(x) J\Phi(x) d\mathcal{H}_{m}(x) = \int_{N} \left(\int_{x \in \Phi^{-1}(\{y\})} f(x) d\mathcal{H}_{m-n}(x) \right) d\mathcal{H}_{n}(y).$$

[Adams et al, JMLR 2017]



For $K : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ a kernel and H a bandwidth matrix (e.g. a symmetric positive definite matrix), pose for $u \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $K_H(z) = |H|^{-1/2} K(H^{-1/2} \cdot u)$

For $D = \sum_i \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i}$ a diagram, $K : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ a kernel, H a bandwidth matrix and $w : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}_+$ a weight function, one defines the persistence surface of D with kernel K and weight function w by :

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ \rho(D)(u) = \sum_i w(\mathbf{r}_i) K_H(u - \mathbf{r}_i) = D(wK_H(u - \cdot))$$

[Adams et al, JMLR 2017]



For $K : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ a kernel and H a bandwidth matrix (e.g. a symmetric positive definite matrix), pose for $u \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $K_H(z) = |H|^{-1/2} K(H^{-1/2} \cdot u)$

For $D = \sum_i \delta_{\mathbf{r}_i}$ a diagram, $K : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ a kernel, H a bandwidth matrix and $w : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}_+$ a weight function, one defines the persistence surface of D with kernel K and weight function w by :

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ \rho(D)(u) = \sum_i w(\mathbf{r}_i) K_H(u - \mathbf{r}_i) = D(wK_H(u - \cdot))$$

 \Rightarrow persistence surfaces can be seen as kernel estimates of $E[D_s[\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{X})]]$.



The realization of 3 different processes

The overlay of 40 different persistence diagrams



The persistence images with weight function $w(\mathbf{r}) = (r_2 - r_1)^3$ and bandwith selected using cross-validation.



Thank you for your attention !